

RESEARCH ARTICLE



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

2395-2636 (Print); 2321-3108 (online)

Satyavati's Struggle for Power and Identity in Kavita Kane's *The Fisher Queen's Dynasty*

S. Jerlin Flower¹ and Dr. N. Sowmia Kumar²

¹ Research Scholar, PG & Research Department of English, H. H. The Rajah's College (Autonomous), Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu 622001, India. Affiliated with Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India.

² Research Advisor and Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of English, H. H. The Rajah's College (Autonomous), Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu 622001, India. Affiliated with Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India.

DOI: [10.33329/rjelal.14.4.53](https://doi.org/10.33329/rjelal.14.4.53)



Article info

Article Received: 20/08/2026
Article Accepted: 15/09/2026
Published online: 18/10/2026

Abstract

Kavita Kane's *The Fisher Queen's Dynasty* retells the story of Satyavati by placing her experiences, ambitions, and decisions at the centre of the narrative. The novel presents a woman who grows up with an acute awareness of social inequality and gradually learns to negotiate the structures that restrict her. Her position as the daughter of a fisherwoman, her exclusion from royal privilege, and her later movement into the court of Hastinapur influence her understanding of class, gender, and power. Kane revisits important episodes associated with Satyavati, including her encounter with Parashar, her marriage to Shantanu, her role in securing the succession, and her involvement in the continuation of the Kuru dynasty. These episodes present her neither as a passive victim nor as an uncomplicated figure of female empowerment. Satyavati seeks control over her own life, but her exercise of authority sometimes reproduces the same forms of coercion from which she once suffered. This paper examines her struggle for dignity, political authority, social recognition, and control over her future while also considering the moral difficulties created by her use of power. Kane's retelling offers a complex account of Satyavati by connecting her resistance to the social conditions that shaped her life.

Keywords: Satyavati, patriarchy, power, identity, resistance, gender, social inequality.

INTRODUCTION

Kavita Kane's *The Fisher Queen's Dynasty* returns to the story of Satyawati, one of the important women associated with the Kuru dynasty in the *Mahabharata*. In traditional accounts, Satyawati is often remembered through a limited number of episodes: her birth, her encounter with Parashar, her marriage to Shantanu, Bhishma's vow, and her efforts to preserve the royal line after the deaths of her sons. Kane expands these episodes by giving greater attention to Satyawati's childhood, her awareness of social difference, her ambitions, her relationships, and her political decisions. The novel shifts attention from the consequences of Satyawati's actions to the conditions under which those actions develop. Her desire for status and security is connected to an early life marked by rejection, poverty, and exclusion from the privileges that should have followed from her royal ancestry. Satyawati's childhood places gender and class inequality within her personal experience. Though she has royal ancestry, she grows up outside royal privilege, while the male child receives recognition denied to her. A. S. Altekar's observation that "the birth of a girl was generally an unwelcome event in ancient times in all patriarchal societies" (3) helps to place this rejection within a wider social pattern. For Satyawati, however, inequality is first encountered as a fact of family and status rather than as an abstract principle. Satyawati responds to these conditions through negotiation rather than withdrawal from the social order. Parashar, Shantanu, Hastinapur, and the succession crisis successively place her inside structures of patriarchy, caste, monarchy, and lineage. She learns to use those structures to secure authority, but the power she acquires also creates a contradiction: the woman who resisted restrictions on her own choices sometimes imposes restrictions upon others.

Satyavati's Early Life and Awareness of Inequality

Satyavati's ambition develops gradually from the world she observes as a child. Life among the fisherfolk acquaints her with hard labour and limited opportunity, while the nearby world of royalty displays comfort, education, security, and social respect. She resents the assumption that birth should fix a person permanently within one class and understands that women possess even fewer means of altering their circumstances. This awareness is closely connected with her sense of personal rejection. Satyawati knows that her birth should have placed her within a different social position, yet she grows up without the protection or recognition attached to royal ancestry. The fact that her male counterpart is accepted while she is not forces her to understand gender inequality in personal terms. She is not dealing with an abstract social principle. She has lived its consequences. The experience also influences the way she later responds to questions of legitimacy and succession. Having been denied recognition herself, she becomes deeply concerned with the status of her own children and their place within the royal line. Her later insistence that her descendants inherit the throne can therefore be read partly through the insecurity of her own childhood. Her mother's experience reinforces this view of the social order. The fisherwoman who gives birth to Satyawati has little control over the consequences of her relationship with a man of higher status. Satyawati witnesses a form of inequality in which gender and class operate together. A woman without wealth or position can be used and then left without the means to demand responsibility. This background explains why Satyawati later approaches relationships with caution and calculation. She does not assume that love or desire will protect her. She asks what a relationship will mean for her position, her future, and her children. The resentment expressed in Satyawati's observation of nobles and royalty is therefore inseparable

from her knowledge of exclusion. Kane does not make her a submissive woman who waits for circumstances to improve. Satyavati watches, judges, remembers, and begins to think about ways of changing her condition. Her ambition develops from this mixture of injury, intelligence, and social observation. At this stage of the novel, resistance lies mainly in her refusal to accept that the life given to her at birth must remain permanent.

Parashar and the Question of Female Choice

Satyavati's encounter with Parashar brings sexual desire, male authority, and personal vulnerability into direct contact. Rather than reducing the episode to either seduction or exploitation, Kane shows Satyavati considering the unequal consequences that would follow for her. Pregnancy, reputation, and social rejection threaten her future in ways they do not threaten Parashar's, so she negotiates before consenting. Her requests for "uncompromised virginity, perpetual youth, and a fragrant body" arise from those unequal risks. Virginity affects her prospects for marriage, while youth and fragrance affect the value assigned to her appearance. Satyavati has already seen her mother suffer after a relationship with a socially powerful man; her conditions are an attempt to prevent a similar loss of security in her own life. Her statement, "If men can use women, why can't women get something from men?" (Kane 32), is deliberately provocative. It shows both anger and practicality. Satyavati recognises that sexual relations exist within unequal structures of power, and she refuses to pretend otherwise. Yet her words also reveal the limits of her position. She cannot remove the social rules governing female sexuality. She can only negotiate within them and try to protect herself. Her agency is therefore real but restricted. Kane avoids presenting female freedom as complete independence from social conditions. Satyavati must make choices within a world in which the consequences of sexual relationships fall more heavily upon women. The birth of Vyasa further complicates the episode. Motherhood becomes

connected with secrecy, separation, and dynastic importance. Satyavati gives birth, but she cannot keep the child with her in an ordinary domestic relationship. Vyasa later returns to play a decisive role in the continuation of the Kuru dynasty. The episode therefore links Satyavati's personal history with the future political history of Hastinapur. What begins as an encounter shaped by sexual inequality later becomes part of the mechanism through which royal lineage is preserved. Satyavati's conduct with Parashar establishes a pattern that continues through the novel. When she cannot directly alter the system, she negotiates for the best terms available to her. She protects her future because she has learned that women who fail to secure clear conditions may be left to bear consequences alone. Her response is neither passive acceptance nor complete liberation. It is a practical form of self-protection developed within unequal circumstances.

Marriage, Shantanu, and the Demand for Security

King Shantanu's desire offers Satyavati entry into the royal world she has long observed from outside. She approaches the possibility carefully, unwilling to accept status without security. A place in the royal household is insufficient; she wants recognised authority as queen and protection for the future of her children. The conditions surrounding the marriage are often interpreted as evidence of Satyavati's ambition, especially because they eventually lead to Bhishma's terrible vow. Yet Kane encourages a broader reading by placing those demands within Satyavati's personal history. A woman who has grown up without secure recognition is unlikely to accept a marriage in which her children may again be placed below another male heir. Satyavati understands that entry into a royal household does not automatically guarantee authority. Devavrata already exists as the recognised successor, and without a clear settlement Satyavati's sons may remain politically secondary. Her concern for succession therefore

has a personal as well as political basis. At the same time, the consequences of these negotiations cannot be ignored. Bhishma renounces the throne and takes a lifelong vow of celibacy so that Satyawati's future sons may inherit the kingdom. The price of her security is borne partly by another person. This episode introduces an ethical difficulty that becomes more pronounced later in the novel. Satyawati's struggle for protection and recognition is understandable, yet the fulfilment of her demands can require sacrifice from others. Kane allows both facts to remain visible. Satyawati is neither simply responsible for Bhishma's suffering nor entirely detached from it. Marriage also changes Satyawati's relation to class. She moves from the fisher community into Hastinapur, but she does not entirely abandon the memory of her origins. Her entry into the palace gives her access to authority that had previously been unavailable to her. It also places her under greater scrutiny because she is a woman of lower social background entering a royal dynasty. Her legitimacy as queen therefore depends on more than marriage. She must establish herself within a political culture shaped by lineage, aristocratic pride, and male authority.

Satyavati as Queen and Political Authority

After becoming queen of Hastinapur, Satyawati moves from observing power to participating in governance and dynastic decisions. She considers alliances, succession, royal stability, and the future of the family, claiming a political role beyond domestic expectations. Her authority, however, is not uniformly benevolent, and some of her later decisions reproduce the pressures from which she once tried to protect herself. Her concern for the fisher community remains part of her political outlook. Satyawati remembers the conditions from which she came and is prepared to speak for people who lack access to royal power. Her movement into the palace therefore creates a connection between the marginal social world of her childhood and the centre of

political authority. This aspect of her queenship is important because her ambition cannot be reduced entirely to personal advancement. She knows that those without rank are easily ignored, and her own rise gives her the opportunity to intervene in matters affecting them. Her political confidence increases as she becomes more familiar with the workings of the court. She no longer depends upon male relatives to interpret political events for her. She evaluates threats, dynastic needs, alliances, and succession. Yet authority also hardens her decisions. Once the survival of the dynasty becomes her main concern, she begins to treat individuals in terms of their usefulness to Hastinapur. The same woman who demanded the right to determine the conditions of her own life can become less attentive to the choices of others when royal stability is at stake. This tension is visible in her attitude towards the Kashi princesses. Satyawati supports their forced removal in the interest of dynastic marriage and political advantage. Her remark, "By marrying all three of them into Hastinapur, Kashi will be solely ours" (Kane 213), is striking because it treats marriage as political strategy. The young women involved are drawn into an arrangement determined by royal interests. Satyawati has herself experienced the dangers of a world in which women's futures are decided by others, yet she is now capable of participating in a similar process. The Kashi episode prevents Satyawati from being treated as an uncomplicated feminist heroine. Political authority gives her genuine visibility, but it also enables her to use methods that restrict other women. Kane thus separates the possession of power from the just use of power: occupying a position traditionally reserved for men does not by itself make the exercise of authority liberating.

Succession, Niyog, and the Preservation of the Dynasty

The deaths within the royal family place Satyawati under increasing pressure to preserve the Kuru line. Succession becomes one of the

major concerns of her later life, and the problem is especially difficult because the continuation of the dynasty depends upon women's reproductive roles while royal legitimacy continues to be described largely through male inheritance. Kane uses this contradiction to question the assumptions surrounding lineage. Satyawati understands that the dynasty cannot continue without the women who bear its heirs. Her observation that "it is the women who give birth and create new life... though men claim it to be theirs" (Kane 284) directly addresses the contradiction within patriarchal ideas of descent. Royal lines are named through men, property and authority pass through men, and political legitimacy is publicly associated with male succession, yet the biological continuation of those lines depends upon women. Satyawati's statement gives reproductive labour a political importance that official systems of lineage often conceal. Her decision to use *niyog* after the death of Vichitravirya must be read within this dynastic crisis. She turns to Vyasa to ensure that heirs are produced, but the arrangement also raises questions about consent. Her declaration, "They will have to obey me for the sake of the crown" (Kane 282), shows how far she has moved from the vulnerable girl who once negotiated carefully to protect her own body and future. As queen mother, she now expects other women to submit their bodies to the needs of the dynasty. The *niyog* decision brings Satyawati's central contradiction into sharp focus. She understands the injustice of denying women control over their lives, yet dynastic survival leads her to demand obedience from Ambika and Ambalika. The woman who once negotiated carefully over her own body now uses royal authority to place other women's bodies at the service of succession. Her earlier insecurity helps explain the urgency she attaches to continuity, but it also shows how the search for security can harden into coercion. *Niyog* also exposes the tension between women's reproductive labour and male dynastic ownership. Women carry and give birth to the heirs on whom the royal line

depends, while their wishes remain secondary to the crown. Satyawati recognises women's role in creating lineage even as she controls that role politically, placing female agency and female coercion within the same episode. The succession crisis also reveals the long-term consequences of Bhishma's vow and Satyawati's earlier demand for her own children's inheritance. What once seemed like a solution to insecurity becomes part of a more complicated dynastic problem. Kane thereby gives Satyawati's life a strong continuity. Decisions made in one stage of her life continue to affect later stages. Her desire to secure the future is understandable, but complete security remains impossible even after she reaches the centre of royal power.

Class, Caste, and Social Exclusion

Satyawati's experience of inequality is not confined to gender. Her lower social background remains an important part of her identity even after she becomes queen, and Kane uses this background to extend the novel's treatment of discrimination beyond the position of women alone. Satyawati knows what it means to be judged through birth rather than ability. Her later response to Vidura reflects this memory. Vidura's position demonstrates the power of caste and birth within the royal system. Although he possesses intelligence and judgement, his parentage limits the rights available to him. Satyawati's statement, "If I, a fisher girl, could be queen, I shall see to it that a maid's son gets his rights!" (Kane 314), connects his exclusion with her own earlier experience. She sees a continuity between the prejudice directed at a fisher girl and the restrictions imposed upon a maid's son. The comparison is important because Satyawati's understanding of injustice has been formed through more than gender alone. Her sympathy for Vidura also distinguishes this episode from those in which she acts primarily to preserve the dynasty. Here her own social memory works against inherited hierarchy. She is able to recognise merit beyond birth because her own rise has exposed the

weakness of claims that social rank determines worth. Her life stands as evidence that the boundary between low birth and high authority is not natural or permanent. Satyavati's response to class and caste exclusion remains uneven when placed beside her treatment of women in dynastic matters. Her own social memory enables her to recognise Vidura's merit beyond birth, yet attachment to the Kuru line leads her to preserve other forms of hierarchy. Resistance to one kind of domination has not automatically freed her from participating in another.

Identity, Transformation, and the Limits of Empowerment

Satyavati's changing names and positions record a visible movement in social status: Kali becomes Satyavati, Matsyagandha becomes Yojanagandha, and the fisher girl becomes queen and queen mother. None of these changes erases the insecurity formed through abandonment and deprivation. Her insistence on succession, legitimacy, and political control continues to carry traces of the girl who once lived outside recognition and power. The description of her life as "a tale of metamorphosis: from a fisher girl to a queen" captures more than social advancement. Satyavati learns how authority works, speaks in political spaces from which women and lower-born people are commonly excluded, and takes decisions concerning the future of the dynasty. Her rise contests the assumption that birth and gender must permanently determine the limits of a person's public life. Yet Satyavati's achievement cannot be equated with complete liberation. She gains authority within a system built on hierarchy, dynastic marriage, inheritance, and control over women's reproductive lives. At different moments she uses power to protect herself, her family, the fisher community, and Vidura, but she also demands obedience when dynastic interests are threatened. Kane's retelling therefore locates both possibility and limitation in her rise: Satyavati refuses the place assigned to her

without fully escaping the values of the order she enters.

CONCLUSION

Kavita Kane's *The Fisher Queen's Dynasty* gives Satyavati a personal and political history that explains the ambition for which she is often remembered. Childhood rejection, poverty, gender discrimination, and awareness of class difference teach her to value security, recognition, and control over her future. Her negotiations with Parashar and Shantanu show her protecting herself within unequal conditions, while her entry into Hastinapur gives her an increasingly active role in governance and succession. Power also exposes the contradictions within that struggle. The woman who once resisted decisions made over her life later places pressure on the Kashi princesses and on the women involved in niyog. In other situations, especially her response to Vidura and the fisher community, the memory of exclusion leads her to challenge inherited privilege. Satyavati's resistance and her exercise of authority therefore remain inseparable from the structures through which she rises. Kane leaves ambition, courage, calculation, responsibility, and contradiction together, presenting a woman who struggles to direct her own life while never standing completely outside the unequal order she learns to command.

References

- Altekar, A. S. (2014). *The position of women in Hindu civilization*. Motilal Banarsidass.
- Arulmurugan, S., & Devi, K. Y. (2017). The enduring power of family legacy in times of colonial disruption: A reading of *The House of Blue Mangoes*. *International Research Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(9), 69–74.
- Beauvoir, S. de. (2011). *The second sex*. Vintage Books.
- Bhuvaneswari, M., Ann, A. D., & Devi, K. Y. (2024). Animal subjugation and human

- disgrace: Power and violence in Coetzee's *Disgrace*. *The Bioscan*, 19(2, Suppl. 2), 495–498.
<https://doi.org/10.63001/tbs.2024.v19.i02.S2.pp495-498>
- Bhuvaneswari, M., Ann, A. D., & Devi, K. Y. (2025). Breaking the chains of technology: Reclaiming humanity in Ray Bradbury's *Fahrenheit 451*. *The Bioscan*, 20(1), 752–755.
<https://doi.org/10.63001/tbs.2025.v20.i01.pp752-755>
- Brisha, E. (2014). A reading of Dickens' *A Tale of Two Cities* in psychoanalytical and Marxist perspective. *Literary Quest: An International Peer Reviewed, Open Access, Online Journal of English Language and Literature*, 1(4), 96–102.
- Brisha, M. L. E., & Sophia, M. S. (2021). A psychoanalytical reading of Cormac McCarthy's *Child of God*. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 17(3), 1913–1916.
- Brisha, M. L. E., & Sophia, M. S. (2021). Portrayal of aggression and brutality in Cormac McCarthy's *No Country for Old Men*. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 17(4), 2891–2894.
- Devi, Y. K. (2020). Empowerment of women as portrayed in Amitav Ghosh's *Sea of Poppies*. *Sambodhi*, 43(4), 91–93.
- Devi, Y. K. (2020). The novel *Delhi*: A critical study. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 8(1), 448–450.
- Devi, Y. K. (2021). A thematic analysis of J. M. Coetzee's *Disgrace*. *Sambodhi*, 44(4, VII), 97–103.
- Devi, Y. K., & Arulmurugan, S. (2014). Women, caste, and colonialism: The changing role of gender and power in *The House of Blue Mangoes*. *International Journal of English and Literature*, 6(1), 16–25.
- Kane, K. (2017). *The Fisher Queen's Dynasty*. Westland Publications.
- Minny, J., Ann, A. D., & Dhanalakshmi, D. (2021a). Interpreting the silence in Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Purple Hibiscus*. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 17(4), 2835–2838.
- Minny, J., Ann, A. D., & Dhanalakshmi, D. (2021b). The waning and waxing of Ifemelu's journey of love in Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Americanah*. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 17(3), 1868–1872.