**Research Journal of English Language and Literature (RJELAL)**
*A Peer Reviewed (Refereed) International Journal*
Impact Factor 6.8992 (ICI) http://www.rjelal.com;
Email:editorrjelal@gmail.com; ISSN:2395-2636 (P); 2321-3108(O)

**Vol.13.Issue 1. 2025 (Jan-March)**

RESEARCH ARTICLE

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA
2395-2636 (Print):2321-3108 (online)

# Harnessing the Power of Technology to Nurture the Spirit of Entrepreneurship and The Acumen of Innovation within Students

**Dr. Brajesh Kumar**
Lecturer (English)
Government Women's Polytechnic, Patna (Bihar)

DOI: 10.33329/rjelal.13.1.257

Dr. Brajesh Kumar

**Article info**
Article Received: 19/02/2025
Article Accepted: 26/03/2025
Published online: 31/03/2025

**Abstract**

Advancements in technology and digitalization have significantly expanded possibilities within the realm of entrepreneurship education. By fostering the development of innovative pedagogical strategies, contemporary entrepreneurship instruction holds the potential to enhance students' entrepreneurial acumen and cultivate a forward-thinking mindset. This study endeavors to investigate two key dimensions: first, the range of technologies employed in the teaching of entrepreneurship, and second, the ways in which these digital tools facilitate the structuring and dissemination of entrepreneurial knowledge. The research contributes theoretically by offering fresh perspectives on the role of technology in entrepreneurship education. Practically, it provides valuable insights into the integration of technological resources for reimagining and refining entrepreneurship curricula. A comprehensive approach to incorporating technology in entrepreneurship education necessitates curricular revisions, the adoption of digital tools for assessment and evaluation, faculty preparedness for technological adaptation, and meaningful collaborations with stakeholders to foster an enriched learning ecosystem.

Keywords: Digitalization, contemporary entrepreneurship, incorporating technology, stakeholders.

## Introduction

Entrepreneurship serves as a vital catalyst for economic advancement across nations, with entrepreneurial education playing an indispensable role in its cultivation. While scholars continue to deliberate whether entrepreneurs are inherently gifted or shaped by experience (Lautenschläger and Haase, 2011), the prevailing consensus acknowledges that entrepreneurial skills, knowledge, and dispositions can be nurtured through structured education (Rae et al., 2012; Lackéus and Williams, 2015). However, existing entrepreneurial curricula often emphasize business planning and technical proficiency,

neglecting the cultivation of creative thought—an essential element in fostering entrepreneurship and innovation (Mavlutova et al., 2020).

Recognizing the necessity of nurturing entrepreneurial competencies (Lombardi et al., 2019), higher education institutions have embraced technology-driven learning tools to refine students' entrepreneurial aptitude and mindset (Secundo et al., 2020a). This paradigm shift has significantly enriched the landscape of entrepreneurship education (Cohen et al., 2017; Maas and Jones, 2017), particularly in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, which underscored the transformative role of digital technologies in crafting dynamic entrepreneurship courses and fortifying students' entrepreneurial capabilities (Ratten and Jones, 2020).

Despite the profound impact of technology on education (Holzmann et al., 2018), there remains a paucity of research investigating its potential to enhance entrepreneurial learning (Rippa and Secundo, 2019; Secundo et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021). Academic discourse has long been engaged with this subject, yet the evolution of technology-driven entrepreneurship education continues to pose challenges (Zhang, 2021). The majority of scholarly inquiries have predominantly explored technology's role in business creation (Audretsch et al., 2006) or the development of entrepreneurship education ecosystems (Belitski and Heron, 2017), with relatively few studies examining the specific digital tools that can be leveraged to enrich entrepreneurial learning (Secundo et al., 2020b; Chen et al., 2021). Given this research gap, there is a pressing need for further exploration into the technological innovations shaping entrepreneurship education (Secundo et al., 2020a).

In response to this necessity, the present study endeavors to assess the transformative potential of technology in fostering students' entrepreneurial mindsets and competencies. It seeks to illuminate the types of technologies employed in entrepreneurship education and analyze their role in the conceptualization and dissemination of entrepreneurial knowledge.

The findings of this study hold significant theoretical and practical implications. From an academic perspective, it introduces a novel discourse on technology-enhanced entrepreneurship education. Practically, it offers valuable guidance to higher education institutions and educators on integrating digital tools into pedagogical frameworks to refine entrepreneurial instruction. This holistic approach encompasses curricular reform, the application of technology in assessment and evaluation, faculty training in digital pedagogy, and the establishment of collaborative networks with key stakeholders.

**Entrepreneurship education**

Entrepreneurship has emerged as a focal point of scholarly and economic discourse in recent decades, owing to its profound capacity to drive national economic growth. It embodies the pursuit of opportunities, the spirit of creativity, the power of innovation, and the potential for expansion (Wilson, 2008; Halberstadt et al., 2021).

Entrepreneurship education, as a dynamic and strategic discipline, integrates elements of consultancy, pedagogy, mentorship, and research to harness the wealth of knowledge embedded within entrepreneurial ecosystems, thereby enhancing the understanding of market prospects (Audretsch et al., 2006). Hannon (2018) characterizes entrepreneurship education as an experiential learning process centered on business start-ups, designed to cultivate the competencies necessary for conceptualizing and actualizing business ventures. This form of education encompasses a spectrum of learning activities aimed at equipping students with essential entrepreneurial knowledge, skills, and mindsets, empowering them to establish and sustain successful enterprises (Chen et al., 2021).

The vast body of literature on entrepreneurship education affirms that the traits and competencies of an entrepreneur can be cultivated through structured academic training and mentorship offered by specialized institutions (Henry et al., 2005; Fayolle, 2018).

The cultivation of entrepreneurial competencies and mindset is paramount for aspiring entrepreneurs navigating the complexities of today's business world. Entrepreneurial competencies encompass a diverse array of skills, both technical and interpersonal, essential for overcoming the challenges inherent in business endeavors (Tu and Akhter, 2023). Peschl et al. (2021) identify seven fundamental cognitive skills that define entrepreneurial thinking, all of which can be taught and refined to shape the leaders of tomorrow: the ability to solve problems, a tolerance for uncertainty, the capacity to embrace failure as a learning experience, empathy, resourcefulness in the face of constraints, receptiveness to constructive criticism, and a collaborative approach to teamwork.

**Entrepreneurship Teaching Methods**

Nurturing entrepreneurship within educational frameworks presents an ongoing challenge, requiring a reimagining of teaching methodologies, instructional materials, and learning tools to effectively instill an entrepreneurial mindset and competencies (Bauman and Lucy, 2021).

Over the past decades, scholars have examined diverse pedagogical approaches to entrepreneurship education. Lackéus (2020) offers a comparative analysis of three distinct methodologies, each rooted in a different conceptualization of entrepreneurship: (1) the *Idea and Artefact-Creation Pedagogy*, which emphasizes opportunity identification and development; (2) the *Value-Creation Pedagogy*, which centers on generating value for others; and (3) the *Venture-Creation Pedagogy*, which focuses on building new organizations. Among these, Value-Creation Pedagogy has demonstrated the most profound impact on students' entrepreneurial competencies, knowledge acquisition, and skill development. This effectiveness stems from the principle that the essence of entrepreneurship lies in creating something innovative and valuable, making value creation a more educationally enriching experience than merely identifying opportunities or launching ventures.

Teaching entrepreneurship as a structured and goal-oriented process requires an approach that transforms information into experiential knowledge, fostering students' entrepreneurial capabilities in a dynamic and creative manner. However, implementing this process poses considerable challenges, necessitating the adoption of innovative, active learning methodologies. No single pedagogical model can universally serve all entrepreneurship education contexts, as the effectiveness of a given approach depends on various factors, such as student demographics, instructional objectives, and available resources. Nonetheless, several established teaching strategies have proven to be particularly effective:

- Experiential Learning: A hands-on approach that immerses students in entrepreneurial activities, such as initiating business ventures or collaborating with startups, fostering learning through direct engagement (Robinson and Josien, 2014).
- Project-Based Learning: Encourages students to tackle real-world problems through structured projects, including business plan development, marketing strategy formulation, and product design, thereby bridging theory and practice (Kang and Lee, 2020).
- Case-Based Learning: Involves analyzing real-life business scenarios to enhance problem-solving and critical thinking skills. By examining the

successes and failures of startups, students gain valuable insights into entrepreneurial decision-making (Sun, 2021).

- Coaching and Mentorship: Provides students with personalized guidance from experienced entrepreneurs, offering tailored feedback and strategic advice throughout the entrepreneurial journey (Deny, 2021).
- Collaborative Learning: Fosters teamwork and peer-to-peer knowledge exchange, engaging students in group projects, cooperative problem-solving, and mentorship-driven learning environments (Peltonen, 2015).
- Online Learning: Leverages digital technology to expand access to entrepreneurship education through virtual courses, webinars, interactive coaching sessions, and digital learning resources, making high-quality education accessible on a global scale (Lahm and Rader, 2014; Raineri et al., 2021).

Incorporating these methodologies into entrepreneurship education ensures a dynamic, adaptive learning environment that equips students with the competencies, resilience, and innovative thinking essential for navigating the ever-evolving landscape of entrepreneurship.

**Innovative Approaches to Teaching Entrepreneurship**

Scholars have put forth a diverse range of pedagogical strategies to enhance entrepreneurship education. These include both individual and collaborative projects, problem-based learning models (De Araújo et al., 2020), flipped classrooms (Onyema and Daniil, 2017), and case-based teaching (Sun, 2021). Other effective methods involve formal speeches, interviews with industry professionals, and inviting guest entrepreneurs to share their insights (Esmi et al., 2015). Additionally, students can develop and analyze feasibility studies, conceptualize innovative business ideas, and translate them into actionable strategies (Mojalal et al., 2011; Sharif et al., 2011). Observing real-world entrepreneurs both within and beyond academic institutions further enhances experiential learning.

Entrepreneurial education can be further enriched through mentorship, investment training, expert guidance, and intensive coaching programs. Virtual platforms, such as Experimental Labs, offer valuable training opportunities in entrepreneurship (Iscaro et al., 2017). Peer-supported collaborative learning, group discussions, and knowledge-sharing sessions between students and alumni also foster an entrepreneurial mindset. Martina and Goksen (2022) advocate for educational escape rooms as a novel way to facilitate immersive experiential learning.

**Methodology**

A systematic literature review is a structured research method that synthesizes existing academic studies through well-defined, rigorous techniques. As a form of evidence-based secondary research, it holds significant value in providing a comprehensive and analytical overview of a given field of study. By meticulously examining interconnections among various factors, this approach deepens the understanding of fundamental concepts within the domain (Cavalcante et al., 2016).

One of the most widely acknowledged methodologies for conducting systematic reviews is the three-stage framework proposed by Tranfield et al. (2003), which comprises planning, execution, and reporting. This structured approach is particularly effective in managing extensive datasets and ensures a methodical progression through the review process (Crossan & Apaydin, 2010).

Additionally, the PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for

Scoping Reviews) methodology is frequently employed in scholarly research. This systematic framework is particularly suited for mapping existing knowledge on a specific topic, identifying core concepts and theories, analyzing key sources, and detecting gaps in the literature (Tricco et al., 2018; URL-1, 2022). Scoping reviews, as a distinct form of knowledge synthesis, serve multiple objectives: they assess the breadth, diversity, and characteristics of existing literature, determine the necessity of a full-scale systematic review, summarize findings across diverse disciplinary perspectives, and highlight areas requiring further exploration. PRISMA is particularly advantageous for studies utilizing smaller datasets (Tricco et al., 2018).

In the present study, given the constraints of the dataset, a blended approach was adopted, incorporating elements from both the PRISMA-ScR framework and Tranfield et al.'s (2003) systematic review process. The formulation of research questions was further guided by the work of Colicchia and Strozzi (2012). The key research inquiries explored in this study include:

- What types of technologies are utilized in entrepreneurship education?
- In what ways do technological tools facilitate the design and delivery of entrepreneurial knowledge?
- What are the expected benefits of integrating technology into entrepreneurship education?
- What insights and gaps emerge from previous studies, and how can they inform future research?

Following the initial research formulation, the execution phase of the review was undertaken. The systematic review process followed a sequence of structured steps, as outlined by Maestrini et al. (2017):

1. Source Identification – Defining relevant academic databases and sources for data collection.
2. Publication Selection – Applying eligibility criteria to determine the most relevant scholarly works.
3. Evaluation of Publications – Critically analyzing selected sources to assess their contribution to the research domain.
4. Data Analysis – Synthesizing findings and extracting meaningful insights.

To ensure a comprehensive data collection process, a keyword-based search strategy was implemented across academic databases. The primary search terms encompassed a wide spectrum of entrepreneurship education and technology-related concepts, including: *(technology)* OR *(virtual AND reality)* OR *(online AND learning AND platforms)* OR *(gamification OR simulation)* OR *(social AND media)* OR *(mobile AND apps)* OR *(artificial AND intelligence)* OR *(cloud computing)* AND *(entrepreneur* AND education)*. The entire research process adhered to a structured protocol, from keyword identification to the systematic retrieval of relevant academic literature.

**Technological Integration in Entrepreneurship Education**

The role of technology in entrepreneurship education has been the focus of an evolving body of research, with studies emphasizing its significance in fostering innovation and commercialization opportunities (Sun, 2021). A key area of investigation explores how educational programs tailored to venture creation can bridge the gap between entrepreneurial learning and technology transfer (Lackéus & Williams, 2015).

However, the present study focuses specifically on educational technologies and their contribution to the entrepreneurial learning process. While relatively few studies

have examined this aspect in depth (Akhmetshin et al., 2019; Mavlutova et al., 2020; Zhang, 2021; Chen et al., 2021), structured reviews conducted by Secundo et al. (2020a) indicate that research in this domain remains fragmented and often disconnected. The authors identify four principal research trajectories that merit further exploration:

i. Digital technologies in entrepreneurship education
ii. The "maker space movement" as a framework for academic entrepreneurship
iii. The role of digital technologies in identifying entrepreneurial opportunities
iv. Development of entrepreneurial competencies within university-based ecosystems

The overarching objective of integrating technology into entrepreneurship education is to enhance learning experiences by transitioning from passive instruction to interactive and collaborative educational environments. Within this framework, Chen et al. (2021) compare the effectiveness of three key technological tools:

- Social Media – Facilitates student engagement through peer-to-peer and student-teacher interactions, fostering a collaborative learning atmosphere.
- Serious Games – Enhances entrepreneurial competencies by simulating real-world business scenarios.
- Digital Platforms – Promotes dynamic communication and engagement between learners and instructors (Wu et al., 2017).

Beyond classroom-based technologies, Akhmetshin et al. (2019) advocate for the modernization of university infrastructure, recommending interactive learning spaces equipped with advanced technological tools such as interactive whiteboards, round-table discussions, and digital workspaces. Meanwhile, Mavlutova et al. (2020) propose the integration of AI-powered educational software, capable of evaluating business plans using real-time economic, industrial, and qualitative data. By leveraging machine learning, such systems can provide automated feedback, offer tailored recommendations, and facilitate data-driven entrepreneurial decision-making.

The application of artificial intelligence in entrepreneurship education has been further explored by Bosman et al. (2022), who successfully implemented two AI-enabled web-based tools:

1. OpexAnalytics – A simulation-based supply chain management platform.
2. CompareAssess – An AI-powered learning tool designed for self-assessment and skill enhancement.

In an effort to integrate computational thinking into entrepreneurial education, Kang and Lee (2020) designed a project wherein students engaged in business model development through Arduino, Raspberry Pi, sensors, and actuators. This approach fostered technical entrepreneurial skills by encouraging students to identify societal challenges and develop innovative technological solutions.

The gamification of entrepreneurial education has also garnered increasing scholarly attention. Simulation-based software enables students to experience realistic business decision-making processes, allowing them to navigate challenges akin to those faced by real-world entrepreneurs (Fox et al., 2018; Thanasi-Boçe, 2020).

Furthermore, Deb and Bhatt (2020) underscore the transformative impact of Web 2.0 and Web 3.0 technologies in digital learning. By incorporating spreadsheets, video tutorials, and interactive databases, these tools empower students to analyze information critically and enhance their entrepreneurial capabilities.

A chronological examination by Zhang (2021) outlines the evolution of educational technology usage across three distinct phases:

- Pre-Pandemic – Widespread adoption of MOOCs, Khan Academy, Coursera, Udemy, and similar platforms.
- Pandemic Period – Growth in learning management systems, mobile learning applications, and digital communication tools.
- Post-Pandemic – Emergence of virtual laboratories, augmented reality, big data analytics, artificial intelligence, and AI-driven educational tools such as ChatGPT.

Similarly, Chen et al. (2021) explore the integration of cloud computing, AI, and Web 2.0 technologies in entrepreneurship education, emphasizing their role in fostering blended and online learning environments. With the advent of advanced digital tools, laptops, mobile devices, and smart technologies have become indispensable in delivering entrepreneurial knowledge, skills, and competencies.

Beyond technological advancements in pedagogy, the development of university-based incubators necessitates substantial infrastructural investments, including facilities, digital amenities, networking capacities, and industry partnerships (Hayter, 2013). As technology continues to reshape entrepreneurial education, institutions must remain adaptive, leveraging digital transformation to nurture the next generation of innovators and business leaders.

**Curriculum Enrichment**

To cultivate the essential competencies requisite for entrepreneurial success, academic institutions must craft curricula that emphasize key entrepreneurial proficiencies such as opportunity recognition, strategic resource mobilization, and prudent risk management. These curricula should be dynamic, evolving in tandem with the shifting demands of the entrepreneurial sphere.

**Technological Integration**

Entrepreneurship education flourishes when invigorated by innovative pedagogical methodologies and state-of-the-art digital tools. Institutions must remain agile in evaluating and adopting emerging technologies to enrich their instructional frameworks. For instance, at Babson Academy, the Innovation Pipeline facilitates the continual assessment and pilot testing of novel digital tools, ensuring their alignment with evolving pedagogical imperatives (Babson Academy, 2023). The strategic deployment of digital learning platforms, social media, simulation-based learning, artificial intelligence, and gamification enables students to refine their entrepreneurial acumen while fostering experiential engagement. Moreover, faculty members must receive comprehensive training in leveraging these technologies, equipping them with the expertise to employ experiential learning, mentorship, and interactive coaching as effective conduits for imparting entrepreneurial wisdom.

**Assessment and Evaluation**

A robust evaluation framework is imperative to ascertain the efficacy of entrepreneurship education programs. Institutions must harness technological tools to develop sophisticated assessment methodologies capable of measuring learning outcomes, tracking the trajectories of entrepreneurship graduates, and incorporating stakeholder feedback to refine instructional strategies continuously.

**Collaborative Partnerships**

The forging of alliances between academic institutions, governmental bodies, and industry stakeholders serves as a vital conduit for students to immerse themselves in real-world entrepreneurial ventures. Establishing a digitally enriched knowledge-

exchange infrastructure fosters a seamless transition from academic discourse to entrepreneurial practice.

From the pedagogical vantage point, instructors must embrace a unique synthesis of expertise to deliver compelling and effective entrepreneurship education. Beyond their proficiency in core business disciplines—such as marketing, financial management, and innovation—educators must cultivate pedagogical excellence, industry acumen, and an ethos of collaboration. Remaining attuned to contemporary entrepreneurial research is essential, ensuring that instruction remains both relevant and pragmatically applicable. Additionally, educators must adeptly incorporate digital technologies into their teaching methodologies, leveraging them to facilitate experiential learning, case-based analysis, and student mentorship. Through these approaches, they can foster dynamic learning environments that inspire innovation, critical thinking, and entrepreneurial resilience.

**Technological Advancements and Innovation**

As the technological landscape continues its relentless evolution, entrepreneurship education must keep pace with these transformations. Future research may delve into how emerging technologies—such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and virtual reality—can be effectively leveraged to augment entrepreneurship education. Additionally, inquiries into the symbiotic relationship between entrepreneurship education and technological innovation may yield valuable insights into the ways in which these disciplines mutually reinforce one another.

**Readiness for Digital Pedagogy**

Despite institutional efforts to incorporate digital tools into entrepreneurship education, faculty readiness remains a critical concern. Swaramarinda (2018) observed that even where institutions had integrated information and communication technology (ICT) into their curricula, many instructors remained hesitant to adopt these digital platforms fully. Consequently, an important research trajectory involves assessing the preparedness of academic institutions and educators in embracing technological pedagogies for entrepreneurship instruction.

**Pedagogical Efficacy and Entrepreneurial Mindset Development**

Future research could systematically investigate the pedagogical methodologies that most effectively cultivate entrepreneurial mindsets and competencies. Identifying and analyzing the efficacy of various instructional techniques—such as project-based learning, experiential simulations, and case studies—could illuminate best practices in fostering innovation-driven entrepreneurship. Additionally, scholars may explore the interplay between personality traits and entrepreneurial inclination, seeking to understand how different educational paradigms shape students' entrepreneurial attitudes, resilience, and problem-solving capacities.

**Cultural Adaptability in Entrepreneurship Education**

The contextual nuances of entrepreneurship vary across cultural landscapes, necessitating further exploration into how entrepreneurship education can be tailored to diverse socio-economic environments. Future research may examine the distinctive challenges and opportunities associated with entrepreneurship in different global contexts, equipping educators with insights that enable them to prepare students for success within culturally specific entrepreneurial ecosystems.

**Impact Assessment and Knowledge Transfer**

The long-term impact of entrepreneurship education on students' professional trajectories remains an area of considerable scholarly interest. Research may focus on measuring the influence of

entrepreneurial training on students' attitudes, behaviors, and business endeavors, developing rigorous methodologies to quantify these effects. Chen et al. (2021) identified entrepreneurial orientation, self-efficacy, and creative thinking as key indicators of entrepreneurial learning outcomes. Future studies may further investigate the factors that enhance knowledge transfer from academic settings to real-world entrepreneurial endeavors, shedding light on the most effective strategies for bridging the gap between theoretical instruction and practical application.

By pursuing these research directions, scholars can contribute to the ever-expanding body of knowledge on entrepreneurship education, ensuring that pedagogical strategies remain attuned to the demands of a rapidly evolving global economy.

**Conclusion**

In summation, the inexorable tide of technological advancement has redefined the contours of entrepreneurship education, presenting an unprecedented confluence of innovation and pedagogy. As digital tools continue to evolve, they offer fertile ground for cultivating an entrepreneurial spirit that is both resilient and visionary—one that thrives amidst the dynamism of a rapidly shifting global landscape.

At its core, this research contributes to the theoretical discourse by unveiling new dimensions in the symbiosis between technology and education. In practice, it underscores the urgency of an integrative approach—one that seamlessly weaves digital resources into curricular design, refines assessment methodologies, fortifies faculty expertise in digital pedagogy, and fosters synergistic collaborations with industry and policy architects. By championing these imperatives, institutions can transcend conventional paradigms, crafting an educational ecosystem that not only equips students with indispensable entrepreneurial competencies but also ignites a spirit of ingenuity, adaptability, and leadership, ensuring their preparedness to navigate and innovate in an increasingly complex world.

**References**

Akhmetshin, E. M., (2019). Innovative technologies in entrepreneurship education: The case of European and Asian countries. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 22(1), 1-15.

Audretsch, D. B., (2006). The knowledge filter and economic growth: The role of scientist entrepreneurship. Kauffman Foundation Large Research Projects Research. 36(2), 163-177.

Cavalcante, R. N., (2016). Systematic literature review on evaluation and management of isolated spontaneous celiac trunk dissection. Annals of Vascular Surgery, 34, 274-279.

Chen, L., Ifenthaler (2021). Online and blended entrepreneurship education: a systematic review of applied educational technologies. Entrepreneurship Education, 4, 191-232.

De Araujo Ruiz, S. M., Martens, C. D. P., and da Costa, P. R. (2020). Entrepreneurial university: an exploratory model for higher education. Journal of Management Development, 39(5), 705-722.

Deny, J. (2021). Creating entrepreneurial ecosystem in higher education institutes: a case study. Methodology, 34, 494-498.

Halberstadt, J. (2021). Social entrepreneurship orientation: Drivers of success for start-ups and established industrial firms. Industrial Marketing Management, 94, 137-149.

Lackéus, M., and Williams M.K. (2015). Venture creation programs: bridging entrepreneurship education and technology transfer. Education+ Training, 57(1), 48-73.

Martina, R. A., and Göksen, S. (2022). Developing educational escape rooms for experiential entrepreneurship education. Entrepreneurship Education and Pedagogy, 5(3), 449-471.

Mavlutova, I., Lesinskis, K., Liogys, M., and Hermanis, J. (2020). Innovative teaching techniques for entrepreneurship education in the era of digitalization. WSEAS Transactions on Environment and Development, 16(1), 725-733.

Mojalal chubqlu M, Abdullahfam R, Tamjidtalesh A. (2011) Pathology of the entrepreneurial process in the university curriculum: a case study in Islamic Azad University. Management Beyond, 18,167–87.

Onyema, O. G., and Daniil, P. (2017). Educating the 21st century learners: are educators using appropriate learning models for honing skills in the mobile age? Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 20(2), 1-15.

Oyelola, O. T. (2013). Embedding entrepreneurship education into the curriculum: A case study of Yaba College of Technology, Centre for Entrepreneurship Development. In The 1 st International Africa Enterprise Educators Conference.

Peltonen, K. (2015). How can teachers' entrepreneurial competences be developed? A collaborative learning perspective. Education+ Training, 57(5), 492-511.

Ratten V. and Jones P. (2020). Covid-19, and entrepreneurship education: implications for advancing research and practice. Int. J. Manag. Educ., 100432.

Robinson, P., and Josien, L. (2014). Entrepreneurial education: Using" The Challenge" in theory and practice. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 17(2), 172.

Secundo, G., Rippa, P., (2020a). Digital Academic Entrepreneurship: A structured literature review and avenue for a research agenda. Technological forecasting and social change, 157, 120118.

Sharif, N., (2011). The Hong Kong university of science and technology: a case study in entrepreneurial-university-led knowledge-based economic development. Academic Entrepreneurship in Asia: The Role and Impact of Universities in National Innovation Systems, 135-164.

Thanasi-Boçe, M. (2020). Enhancing students' entrepreneurial capacity through marketing simulation games. Education+ Training, 62(9), 999-1013.

Tranfield, D., (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. British journal of management, 14(3), 207-222.

Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., ... and Straus, S. E. (2018). PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. Annals of Internal Medicine, 169(7), 467-473.

Wu, Y.-C. J., Pan, C.-I., and Yuan, C.-H. (2017). Attitudes towards the use of information and communication technology in management education. Behaviour and Information Technology, 36(3), 243–254.

Zhang, Z. (2021). The impact of digital technologies on entrepreneurship education. In 2021 6th International Conference on Social Sciences and Economic Development (ICSSED 2021) (pp. 448-452). Atlantis Press.