



## Redefining Educational Assessment in the Era of AI: Developing a Standardized Rubric for Evaluating Higher-Order Cognitive Skills

Dr. Ajith K

Assistant Professor, Department of Languages, Presidency University, Bengaluru, India,

E-mail: [ajithk@presidencyuniversity.in](mailto:ajithk@presidencyuniversity.in)

DOI: [10.33329/rjelal.12.1.250](https://doi.org/10.33329/rjelal.12.1.250)



### Article info

Article Received:16/02/2024

Article Accepted: 15/03/2024

Published online:21/03/2024

### Abstract

This research paper delves into the critical examination of traditional educational assessment practices, which have predominantly emphasized linguistic correctness in essay grading, potentially overshadowing the assessment of higher-order cognitive skills such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. With the advent of sophisticated chatbots and Large Language Models (LLMs), the reliance on these technologies for crafting essays raises concerns about the genuine development of critical thinking and analytical skills in students. This paper argues for the urgent need to evolve educational assessments to effectively foster and measure higher-order cognitive skills, essential for navigating an increasingly complex world. It highlights the significant research gap in the absence of a standardized rubric capable of assessing these skills across diverse educational contexts, taking into account cultural, linguistic, and background differences among learners. The paper proposes the development of a standardized rubric as a solution to provide a more equitable and comprehensive measure of student learning, aligning assessment practices with the imperative to prepare students for 21st-century demands.

**Keywords:** Educational Assessment, Higher-Order Cognitive Skills, Standardized Rubric, Linguistic Correctness, Large Language Models, Critical Thinking.

### 1. Introduction

The landscape of educational assessment is at a pivotal juncture, where the traditional focus on linguistic accuracy and factual recall is increasingly scrutinized for its adequacy in preparing students for the complexities of the modern world (Rosenberg). The assessment of higher-order cognitive skills—analysis, synthesis, and evaluation—is not merely an academic exercise but a fundamental component in developing critical thinkers and creative problem solvers (Murawski). Despite the critical role these

skills play, current assessment methods often fall short in capturing the depth and breadth of a student's cognitive abilities. The Bloom's Taxonomy framework serves as a testament to the educational imperative of fostering and evaluating higher-order thinking, yet the translation of this framework into practical assessment tools remains a challenge.

The literature reveals that assessments designed to measure higher-order cognitive skills can promote deeper learning and understanding (Lemons and Lemons). Tasks that require students to

engage with material beyond rote memorization, such as analyzing case studies and synthesizing information from diverse sources, are instrumental in this regard. However, the development of assessments that accurately gauge these skills, alongside rubrics that guide teaching and learning towards cognitive engagement, is fraught with challenges. These include the need to ensure the reliability and validity of assessment criteria and to provide feedback that nurtures student growth (Peeters et al.).

The integration of technology in educational settings, particularly the advent of digital learning environments, has further underscored the necessity for assessments that effectively measure higher-order cognitive skills (Chang et al.). Digital tools and platforms offer novel opportunities for interactive and immersive tasks that can engage students in critical thinking and problem-solving. Yet, harnessing these technologies to enhance assessment requires a deliberate consideration of instructional design principles and the alignment with learning objectives (Urciuoli).

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI), especially with the emergence of Large Language Models (LLMs) like GPT, has introduced new dimensions to educational assessments (Kasneji et al.). While these technologies provide valuable learning support, they also pose risks to the integrity of traditional assessments by enabling the generation of sophisticated text, potentially undermining the authenticity of student work. This technological shift calls for a re-evaluation of assessment practices to ensure they remain relevant and effective in a digital age (Minnich et al.).

In response to these challenges, this paper proposes the incorporation of AI, particularly chatbots and LLMs, into the assessment of 'mediation' skills in written tasks. This approach not only aligns with contemporary educational goals but also leverages the capabilities of AI to provide a dynamic platform for assessing students' abilities to mediate information and concepts effectively (Kasneji et al.).

The paper advocates for the development and implementation of standardized rubrics that can provide a consistent and equitable framework for evaluating higher-order cognitive skills. Such rubrics must be adaptable to various educational contexts and sensitive to cultural and linguistic diversity to avoid biases (Urciuoli). The potential of standardized rubrics lies in their ability to delineate high-quality work clearly, guiding teaching and learning towards engagement with complex ideas and preparing students for real-world challenges (Murawski). This paper aims to contribute to the dialogue on educational assessment by exploring the intersection of technology, standardized rubrics, and the assessment of higher-order cognitive skills, with the ultimate goal of enhancing student learning and preparedness for the future (Bennett).

## **2. Methodology**

### **2.1. Theoretical Framework: 'Mediation' in Written Text Assessment**

The emergence of sophisticated chatbots based on LLMs, capable of assisting students in writing tasks, challenges the validity of traditional assessment methods (Kasneji et al.). While these tools are beneficial for supporting learning, they risk diminishing the educational value of assessments by enabling students to produce high-quality texts with minimal personal effort. Therefore, the traditional focus on linguistic correction may no longer be an adequate measure of students' true writing abilities, critical thinking, or depth of understanding.

The concept of 'mediation', as described in the Complementary Volume of the CEFR, represents a significant shift in language assessment, emphasizing the learner's ability to act as a social agent and a facilitator of communication (Council of Europe). In the context of written assessments, 'mediation' involves not only processing and transmitting information but also collaborating to construct meaning and address comprehension gaps. This expanded view of language competence has significant implications for assessing high-level cognitive skills.

Developing an assessment grid based on 'mediation' requires careful consideration of key

aspects of 'mediation': text processing in writing and collaboration to construct meaning. Text processing in writing involves summarizing, paraphrasing, and synthesizing information from one or more sources while maintaining the original meaning and adapting it for a specific audience. Collaborating to construct meaning involves working with others to facilitate communication, clarify misunderstandings, and build shared understanding. An assessment grid centered on 'mediation' should evaluate learners' ability to effectively perform these tasks, assessing not only their linguistic skills but also their critical thinking, problem-solving, and interpersonal skills (James).

While traditional grids may assess learners' ability to produce well-structured texts with a range of vocabulary and grammatical structures, a 'mediation' grid would also assess their ability to accurately convey information, adapt their message to the context and audience, and facilitate understanding and collaboration (Council of Europe). assessing their ability to process, transmit, and co-construct meaning in real contexts, a 'mediation' - centered grid can offer valuable insights into learners' critical thinking, adaptability, and communicative competence. This shift in focus aligns with the CEFR's objective of promoting language learning as a means to foster social inclusion, intercultural dialogue, and democratic citizenship.

## 2.2. Rubric Development

Developing a rubric for 'mediation' - based written assessment involves identifying specific 'mediation' activities relevant to written text, such as processing text in writing and collaborating to construct meaning.

1. **Processing Text in Writing:** This involves summarizing, paraphrasing, and synthesizing information from various sources to convey meaning appropriately to a specific audience. The rubric should assess the learner's ability to identify and focus on the main points, adapt the message for the audience, and maintain the original meaning.

2. **Collaborating to Construct Meaning:** This focuses on the learner's ability to work with others (or interact with a chatbot simulating a social agent) to build shared understanding and co-create new knowledge. The rubric should evaluate the learner's skills in facilitating dialogue, encouraging diverse perspectives, and achieving shared communicative objectives.

By following this theoretical process, the rubric aims to provide a standardized yet flexible framework for assessing higher-order cognitive skills in a way that is fair, comprehensive, and aligned with international standards of language proficiency. The following is the rubric that is developed, based on the above theoretical model.

## 3. Discussion

### 3.1. Potential of a New Grading System

The advent of LLM-backed chatbots introduces a transformative potential for grading systems in educational assessments, particularly in the realm of written texts (Chang et al.). This new grading system, pivoting towards the assessment of 'mediation' rather than traditional linguistic accuracy, marks a significant departure from conventional evaluation methods. 'mediation', as highlighted in the CEFR Companion Volume, emphasizes the learner's role as a social agent, who facilitates understanding and communication across linguistic and cultural divides. The proposed rubric focuses on processing text, collaborating on meaning-making, and conveying ideas effectively in writing, aligning with the CEFR's "mediation" concept and promoting a holistic assessment of communicative competence.

Table 1. Revised Rubric for Written Assessment

Language Proficiency Level	Collaborative Communication	Meaning Preservation	Audience Adaptation	Information Processing
<b>C2 - Mastery</b>	Can facilitate and lead discussions to achieve shared understanding	Can preserve the meaning and subtle nuances of highly	Can adapt messages to suit any audience and purpose	Can comprehensively process and evaluate information from various
<b>C1 - Advanced</b>	Can facilitate in-depth discussions and manage diverse perspectives	Can maintain the original meaning and implications of	Can adapt messages to suit the audience's cultural background and	Can process and analyze complex information from diverse sources
<b>B2 - Upper-Intermediate</b>	Can facilitate productive discussions and build consensus	Can preserve the meaning and nuances of complex texts	Can adjust language and tone to suit the audience's expectations and context	Can synthesize information from multiple sources coherently
<b>B1 - Intermediate</b>	Can facilitate dialogue and encourage others to share their views	Can maintain the original meaning and intent of moderately	Can tailor messages to the audience's interests and level of	Can summarize and paraphrase information from various sources
<b>A2 - Elementary English</b>	Can participate in simple discussions and exchange ideas	Can maintain the original meaning of simple texts	Can adapt simple messages to suit the audience's needs	Can identify main points and relevant details from simple texts
<b>A1 - Beginner</b>	Can engage in basic dialogue with others on familiar topics	Can maintain the general meaning of simple, familiar texts	Can use simple phrases to convey information to a familiar audience	Can identify basic information from simple texts

Language Proficiency Level	Intercultural Competence	Communicative Effectiveness	Knowledge Co-Creation
<b>C2 - Mastery</b>	Can demonstrate a deep understanding and respect for cultural diversity in	Can communicate with ease and precision on any topic	Can synthesize multiple viewpoints to create comprehensive new knowledge
<b>C1 - Advanced</b>	Can navigate and mediate complex cultural differences in communication	Can communicate fluently and spontaneously on complex matters	Can generate original insights and solutions through collaborative effort
<b>B2 - Upper-Intermediate</b>	Can demonstrate sensitivity to cultural norms and adapt messages appropriately	Can communicate effectively on a wide range of topics	Can critically analyze and combine ideas to create new knowledge
<b>B1 - Intermediate</b>	Can show awareness of cultural differences and adapt communication	Can convey ideas clearly and persuasively on familiar matters	Can integrate multiple perspectives to generate new insights
<b>A2 - Elementary English</b>	Can adapt simple messages to suit different cultural contexts	Can convey ideas and opinions on familiar topics effectively	Can integrate basic ideas to generate simple new information
<b>A1 - Beginner</b>	Can recognize and respect basic cultural differences in communication	Can convey basic information and needs effectively	Can contribute simple ideas to a discussion

This approach is crucial for written assessments, shifting the focus from mere linguistic precision to the learner's ability to engage in the 'mediation' process. The integration of LLM-backed chatbots in this grading system can further enhance the assessment of 'mediation' skills by simulating diverse communicative scenarios, requiring learners to mediate information, concepts, or communication in writing. This aims to assess real-world communication and problem-solving abilities, preparing students for a globalized world.

The potential of this grading system lies in its ability to provide a fairer and more comprehensive measure of student learning. By reducing the influence of subjective biases and emphasizing the depth of understanding, intellectual engagement, and higher-order cognitive skills, the system encourages learners to move beyond memorization towards developing a sophisticated understanding of content. Furthermore, the use of LLM-backed chatbots in assessing 'mediation' skills introduces an innovative approach that adapts to learner profiles and the evolving needs of learners in a digitally interconnected world (Chang et al.).

### **3.2. Implications for Educational Assessment**

The integration of LLM-backed chatbots into educational assessment, particularly for written tasks, introduces a paradigm shift from traditional methods to a focus on 'mediation' as a core component of language proficiency (Council of Europe). This shift has profound implications for educational assessment, as it requires a re-evaluation of the skills and competencies that are being measured.

The use of chatbots for 'mediation' tasks in written assessments can enhance the authenticity of the testing environment by simulating real-life communication scenarios, requiring students to apply their language skills in a dynamic and interactive context (Kasneci et al.). This adaptation to learner profiles and the digital world can lead to a more accurate measurement of a student's ability to use language effectively in various settings.

The focus on 'mediation' necessitates the development of new rubrics that assess the ability to process text, collaborate to construct meaning, and convey complex ideas appropriately. These rubrics must capture the essence of 'mediation', which is less concerned with one's own expression and more with facilitating understanding and cooperation among parties. This requires evaluating emotional intelligence, collaborative skills, and the role of language in facilitating communication and learning, moving beyond just accuracy.

The implications for educational assessment are significant, requiring professional development for educators to understand and implement these new assessment strategies effectively (James). There may be resistance from stakeholders who are accustomed to traditional assessment methods, necessitating clear communication about the benefits of this approach.

### **3.3. Benefits for Student Development**

The integration of LLM-backed chatbots and a focus on 'mediation' in written assessments heralds a transformative approach to language learning, with profound benefits for student development. This innovative methodology transcends traditional linguistic accuracy, emphasizing the cultivation of higher-order cognitive skills through the lens of 'mediation'.

The utilization of LLM-backed chatbots in written assessments offers a dynamic and interactive learning environment that simulates real-world communication scenarios (Kasneci et al.). By focusing on 'mediation', students are encouraged to process text, collaborate to construct meaning, and convey complex ideas, thereby fostering critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaboration through "mediation" tasks. These are essential competencies that extend beyond the classroom, preparing students for global citizenship and professional endeavors in diverse fields (Lemons and Lemons).

Moreover, a 'mediation' - focused assessment framework promotes deeper information processing, analysis, and flexible language use. It enhances engagement and fosters



essential skills for effective communication across diverse contexts, developing intercultural competence by emphasizing navigating communication across cultures (Urciuoli). This approach prepares students for real-world challenges requiring "mediation" skills in professional and social settings.

The emphasis on 'mediation' aligns with contemporary educational goals of promoting lifelong learning, adaptability, creativity, and critical analysis. It encourages students to engage deeply with content, moving beyond surface-level understanding to a more nuanced comprehension of texts and contexts. The dynamic, interactive learning environment provided by LLM-backed chatbots further supports language engagement and the development of linguistic dexterity, enabling students to use language flexibly and effectively across various modalities and for different purposes.

#### 4. Conclusion

This research paper has illuminated the path toward integrating LLM-backed chatbots for 'mediation'-focused assessment in educational settings, advocating for a shift from traditional linguistic precision to a more holistic evaluation of higher-order cognitive skills. The proposed grading system, inspired by the CEFR Companion Volume, promises not only to enhance global communication competencies but also to offer a more equitable assessment framework by mitigating human biases. However, the successful implementation of this innovative approach necessitates comprehensive educator training and the redesign of assessment strategies to cultivate critical thinking and problem-solving abilities among students. Future research is essential to validate the effectiveness of this rubric across diverse educational landscapes, with a particular focus on its impact on teaching practices, student outcomes, and equity in assessment. As the educational assessment landscape evolves, embracing such forward-thinking methodologies will be crucial in preparing students to navigate the complexities of the modern world, thereby fostering the development of future generations of adept thinkers, communicators, and leaders.

#### References

- Bennett, Randy Elliot. "The Changing Nature of Educational Assessment." *Review of Research in Education*, vol. 39, no. 1, Mar. 2015, pp. 370–407. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732x1455417>
- Chang, Yao, et al. "A Survey on Evaluation of Large Language Models." *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology*, Jan. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3641289>.
- Council Of Europe. *Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, Teaching, Assessment, Companion Volume*. 2020.
- James, Mary. "Educational Assessment: overview." *International Encyclopedia of Education: Third Edition*.
- Kasneci, Enkelejda, et al. "ChatGPT for Good? On Opportunities and Challenges of Large Language Models for Education." *Learning and Individual Differences*, vol. 103, Apr. 2023, p. 102274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274>.
- Lemons, Paula P., and J. Derrick Lemons. "Questions for Assessing Higher-Order Cognitive Skills: It's Not Just Bloom's." *CBE- Life Sciences Education*, vol. 12, no. 1, Mar. 2013, pp. 47–58. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.12-03-0024>.
- Minnich, Margo, et al. "Writing Across the Curriculum: Reliability Testing of a Standardized Rubric." *Journal of Nursing Education*, vol. 57, no. 6, June 2018, pp. 366–70. <https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20180522-08>.
- Murawski, Linda M. "Critical Thinking in the Classroom...and Beyond." *Journal of Learning in Higher Education*, vol. 10, no. 1, Jan. 2014, pp. 25–30. [files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1143316.pdf](https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1143316.pdf).
- Peeters, Michael J., et al. "A Standardized Rubric to Evaluate Student Presentations." *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, vol. 74, no. 9, Nov. 2010, p. 171. <https://doi.org/10.5688/aj7409171>.

---

Rosenberg, Jay F. "The Concept of Linguistic Correctness." *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal of Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition*, vol. 30, no. 3. JSTOR, www.jstor.org/stable/4319081.

Scott, Kristin M., et al. "From Higher Order Thinking to Higher Order Behavior: Exploring the Relationship Between Early Cognitive Skills and Social Competence in Black Boys." *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, vol. 83, no. 2-3, Apr. 2013, pp. 185-93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajop.12037>.

Urciuoli, Bonnie. "11. Whose Spanish? The Tension Between Linguistic Correctness and Cultural Identity." *Studies in bilingualism*, 2008, pp. 257-77. <https://doi.org/10.1075/sibil.37.16urc>.